

1 Q. **RE: Return Applied to the Unamortized Balance of the Rate**
2 **Stabilization Plan**

3

4 65.1 What would be the effect on revenue requirement for 2002 of applying
5 the overall cost of capital to the unamortized balance of the Rate
6 Stabilization Plan? How does this differ from the effect on revenue
7 requirement of using the embedded cost of debt? What regulatory
8 precedents in North America support utilization of the weighted cost
9 of capital as the return to be applied to the unamortized balance of the
10 RSP? (KCM, p. 10, lines 18 - 27)

11

12 A. 65.1 The return applied to the unamortized balance of the RSP does not
13 affect revenue requirement.

14

15 Please refer to the response to NP-83. If the embedded cost of debt
16 of 8.0% had been applied, rather than the 7.4%, weighted average
17 cost of capital, net interest expense would have decreased by
18 \$539,000 and margin would have increased by the same amount, but
19 the return on rate base and total revenue requirement would remain
20 the same.

21

22 The Board's formula for translating the weighted average cost of
23 capital (WACC) to the return on rate base for Newfoundland Power as
24 set forth in Order No. P.U.36 (1998-99), pp. 63-66 is equivalent to
25 allowing a return on the unamortized balance of the RSP. That
26 formula adjusts the WACC to return on rate base effectively by the
27 ratio of invested capital to rate base. Hydro is proposing to apply the

- 1 WACC directly to its RSP balance, rather than indirectly through the
- 2 formula utilized for Newfoundland Power.